UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,660 09/27/2006		Minoru Ito	52433/863	1634
26646 KENYON & K	7590 01/19/201 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADV NEW YORK, N		YANG, JIE		
NEW TORK, P	N1 10004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1733		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary 10/594,660		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
JEYANG JEYANG 1733 JEYANG 1733 JEYANG 1733 JEYANG		10/594,660	ITO ET AL.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ─ Period to Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Features to rive may be available under the provided of 27 CF1 1:300, in ne word, however, truy a recipit be finely filed. If NO period for regly is excelled above, the maximum estatutory partial will apply and will expres 5X (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. Feature to region within the size or benefold period for region tile, to state, care the approach to become AbsNONOVED. 260 U.S. 0, § 1331. Any reply received by the other time months while the maining case of the communication, seen if they filed, may reduce any extension partial maining about the provided period for region and the communication of the communication of the maining case of the communication, seen if they filed. 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 November 2010. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is final. 2b) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) □ sister engling in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) □ Claim(s) □ sister engling in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are allowed. 6b) □ Claim(s) □ sister engling the communication and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on □ sisters; all □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) □ The proving proving the proving proving the proving documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ 1 □ Certified copies of the priority docu	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extraction of the range to available under the provisions of 57 SF1 is 183a. In or event, however, may a risply be limitly filled. - Event and the provision of the provisions of 57 SF1 is 183a. In or event, however, may a risply be limitly filled. - Provision of one type is specified above the maintain status by parts will appear and the care the mainting date of this communication. - Falles to reply within to and or extended period for reply will, by straids. cause the application is bacteria ANNON/ED, (36 U.S. 5, 133). - Falles to reply within the antic extended period for reply will, by straids. cause the application is bacteria ANNON/ED, (36 U.S. 5, 133). - Falles to reply within the antic extended period for reply will, by straids. - Falles to reply within the antic extended period for reply will, by straids. - Falles to reply within the antic extended period for reply will, by straids. - Falles to reply within the antic extended period of the mainting date of this communication. - Falles to reply within the antic extended period of the mainting date of this communication. - Falles to reply within the antic extended period of the mainting date of this communication. - Falles to reply within the antic extended period of the mainting date of this communication. - Falles to reply within the antic extended period of the fall state. - Falles to reply within the antic extended period of the provision of the fall state. - Falles to reply within the state of the provision of the fall state. - Falles to reply within the antic extended period of the provision of the fall state. - Falles to reply within the antic extended period of the provision of the fall state. - Falles to reply within the antic extended period of the provision of the fall state. - Falles to reply within the state. - Falles to reply within the state. - Fa		JIE YANG	1733				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNATION. Extensions of mem gay be available under the protection of 3° CFR 1.1380, in ne event, however, may a regly be timely filed after EX (5) MCNITS from the mailing date of the communation of 3° CFR 1.1380, in ne event, however, may a regly be timely filed after EX (5) MCNITS from the mailing date of the communation of the CX (5) MCNITS from the mailing date of the communation of the CX (5) MCNITS from the mailing date of the communation of the CX (5) MCNITS from the mailing date of the communication of the CX (5) MCNITS from the mailing date of the communication of the CX (5) MCNITS from the mailing date of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seather platefriem adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(a). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 November 2010. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3 □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1.4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) □ Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 □ Claim(s) 1.4 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) 1.4 is/are rejected. 7 □ Claim(s) 1.4 is/are objected to. 8 □ Claim(s) 1.4 is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 □ The paper file of the proving the correction is required file the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.21(d). 11) □ The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ Copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage applicati		ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) This action is FINAL. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Al Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Status						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some older objected to by the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-950a) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO:SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Disposition of Claims						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some collar note of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Beferences Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Application Papers						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the correction Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the following (s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 5 has been cancelled; claims 1-4 pending in application. There is no amendment for the previous presented claims. claim 1 is an independent claim.

Status of the Previous Rejections

Previous objection of claims 2-4 under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim is withdrawn in view of the interview on 11/01/2010 and the Applicant's Remark marked 11/05/2010.

Previous rejection of claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito Minoru et al (JP 2003-313628 A, thereafter JP'628) is withdrawn in view of the interview on 11/01/2010 and the Applicant's Remark marked 11/05/2010. However, a new ground(s) of rejection is made (see below) in view of newly found reference, which makes this office action non-final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1733

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ito Minoru et al (JP 2003-313628 A, thereafter JP'628) in view of Yamamoto et al (US 5,421,920, thereafter US'920).

Regarding claim 1, JP'628 teaches a steel product having superior toughness in a HAZ (Heat-affected zone) (abstract of JP'628) with the vE_{-40} (J) measurement (Table 2 of JP'628) for thick plate application (Example in paragraph [0079] of JP'628), which read on the limitation of a high-strength thick steel plat excellent in low temperature toughness at heat affect zone result from large input welding as recited in the instant claim. The composition comparison between the alloy of JP'628 and the alloy of the instant invention is listed in the following table. All of the composition ranges disclosed by JP'628 (abstract, table 1, and claims 1-3 of JP'628) overlap the composition ranges as recited in the instant claim, which is a prima facie case of obviousness. SEE MPEP 2144.05 I. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the claimed compositions of C, Si, Mn, P, S, Al, N, Ni, Ti, Nb, and Fe, and optionally adding Mg, REM, B, Cr, Mo, V, and Cu to the alloy of JP'628 because JP'628 discloses the same utility throughout the disclosed ranges.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,660 Page 4

Art Unit: 1733

Element	From instant Claim 1	JP'628	Overlapping range
	(in wt%)	(in wt%)	(in wt%)
С	0.03-0.14	0.03-0.18	0.03-0.14
Si	0.30 or less	0.5 or less	0.30 or less
Mn	0.8-2.0	0.4-2.0	0.8-2.0
Р	0.02 or less	0.02 or less	0.02 or less
S	0.005 or less	0.02 or less	0.005 or less
Al	0.001-0.040	0.005-0.07	0.005-0.04
N	0.0010-0.0100	0.0005-0.007	0.001-0.007
Ni	0.8-4.0	0.6-4.0	0.8-4.0
Ti	0.005-0.030	0.005-0.03	0.005-0.03
Nb	0.003-0.040	0.005-0.10	0.005-0.04
Fe	Balance	Balance	Balance
	optionally		
At least	Mg: 0.0003-0.0050;	Mg:0-0.0050;	Mg: 0.0003-0.0050;
one of	REM: 0.001-0.030	REM:0-0.100	REM: 0.001-0.030
At least	B: 0.0005-0.0050;	B: 0.0005-0.0030;	B: 0.0005-0.0030;
one of	Cr:0.1-0.5;	Cr:0-0.6;	Cr:0.1-0.5;
	Mo:0.01-0.5;	Mo:0-0.6;	Mo:0.01-0.5;
	V:0.005-0.10;	V:0-0.1;	V:0.005-0.10;
	Cu:0.1-1.0	Cu:0-1.0	Cu:0.1-1.0

Still regarding claim 1, JP'628 teaches adding 0.0005-0.0050wt%Ca in the alloy, which is excluded by the instant invention by language of "consisting of" in the instant claim. However, Ca and REM are function equivalent elements in term of preventing UST defects in the steel alloy as evidenced by US'920. US'920 teaches a steel composition with the major compositions (Abstract and Col.6, line 38 to Col.8, line 65 of US'920) overlapping the composition ranges as recited in the instant invention. US'920 teaches that: "Ca and REM are added for the purpose of preventing UST defects and a reduction in the

Art Unit: 1733

toughness caused by the stretching of NLnS during hot rolling. They form Ca--O--S or REM--O--S, having a low high-temperature deformability, instead of MnS and can regulate the property and shape of inclusions as opposed to MnS. When Ca and REM are added in respective amounts exceeding 0.003% by weight and 0.01% by weight, Ca--O--S and REM--O--S are formed in large amounts and become coarse inclusions, which deteriorates the toughness of the base material and welds, so that the Ca and REM contents are limited to 0.003% or less and 0.01% or less, respectively." (Col.8, lines 32-44 of US'920). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the element Ca of JP'628 with REM as demonstrated by US'920, because substitution of equivalents would be within the expected skill in the art with expected success. See MPEP 2144.06. Because JP'628 in view of US'920 teaches the similar essential elements in the alloy, the alloy of JP'628 in view of US'920 meets the requirement of "consisting of" in the instant claim.

Regarding the equation [1] in the instant claim 1, which is fully depends on the alloy's compositions, it is well settled that there is no invention in the discovery of a general formula if it covers a composition described in the prior art, In re

Application/Control Number: 10/594,660

Art Unit: 1733

Cooper and Foley 1943 C.D.357, 553 O.G.177; 57 USPQ 117, Taklatwalla v.Marburg. 620 O.G.685, 1949 C.D.77, and In re Pilling, 403 O.G.513, 44 F(2) 878, 1931 C.D.75. In the instant case, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, the selection of the proportions of elements: Ni, Mn, C, Cr, Mo, V, and Cu from JP'628 in view of US'920 in order to meet the claimed equation would appear to require no more than routine investigation by those ordinary skilled in the art. In re Austin, et al., 149 USPQ 685, 688. The Examiner further note that if choosing the sample number 1 from the table 1 of JP'628 for calculation, sample 1 has major composition ranges within or close to the claimed composition ranges, the calculated Ceq is about 0.37, the calculated Ni/Mn is about 1.02, and the sample 1 meets the requirement of equation [1]. JP'628 teaches oxygen included particles in the number 100-3,000 pieces/mm² with circle-equivalent particle sizes of $0.005-2\mu m$ (Abstract of JP'628), which reads on the limitation of at least 100/mm² of oxide particles and overlapping the circle-equivalent diameter range of 0.005 to 0.5 μm as recited in the instant claim.

Regarding claim 2, JP'628 teaches optional adding less than 0.0050wt%Mg and less than 0.1wt%REM in the alloy (claim 2 of JP'628), which overlapping the one or more of 0.0003-0.0050wt%Mg

Application/Control Number: 10/594,660

Art Unit: 1733

and 0.001-0.030wt%REM as recited in the instant claim. JP'628 teaches oxygen included particles in the number 100-3,000 pieces/mm² with circle-equivalent particle sizes of 0.005-2 μ m (Abstract of JP'628), which reads on the limitation of at least 100/mm² of oxide particles and overlapping the circle-equivalent diameter range of 0.005 to 0.5 μ m as recited in the instant claim.

Page 7

Regarding claims 3 and 4, JP'628 teaches adding 0.0005-0.0070wt%B and optionally adding less than 1.0wt%Cu; less than 0.1wt%V; less than 0.6wt%Cr; and less than 0.6wt%Mo in the alloy (Claim 2 of JP'628), which overlapping the claimed one or more optional elements of 0.0005-0.0050wt%B; 0.1-0.5wt%Cr; 0.01-0.5wt%Mo; 0.005-0.01wt%V; and 0.1-1.0wt%Cu as recited in the instant claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see the Applicant arguments/remark, filed 11/05/2010, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4 under 103 (a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found references, which makes this office action non-final.

Art Unit: 1733

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jie Yang whose telephone number is 571-270-1884. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-2721244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jie Yang/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1733